

August 30, 2019

CHAIR: The Honorable Sharon Keller Presiding Judge Court of Criminal Appeals

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS: Honorable Sharon Keller Honorable Nathan Hecht Honorable John Whitmire Honorable Brandon Creighton Honorable Nicole Collier Honorable Andrew Murr Honorable Sherry Radack Honorable Vivian Torres

MEMBERS APPOINTED BY GOVERNOR: Mr. Alex Bunin Honorable Richard Evans Mr. Don Hase Honorable Missy Medary Honorable Chris Hill

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Geoffrey Burkhart

The Honorable Clay Jenkins Dallas County Judge 411 Elm Street, 2nd Floor Dallas, TX 75202

Re: Texas Indigent Defense Commission

Dear Judge Jenkins:

At its August 29 meeting, the Texas Indigent Defense Commission (TIDC) Board passed a motion to close the Dallas County policy monitoring review. Dallas County has been submitting misdemeanor appointment data on a quarterly basis to TIDC since 2017. Data over the last year has shown significant improvement and now falls within TIDC's threshold for presuming a jurisdiction has a fair, neutral, and nondiscriminatory appointment system.

Enclosed is the most recent analysis of the misdemeanor appointment data presented at our August Board Meeting. For the last three quarters (October 2018 – June 2019), the top 10 percent of recipient attorneys received **2.8 times their representative share of cases**. This is inside of the threshold set by TIDC's administrative rules (3.0 times). The appointment distribution was the most even we have so far seen from Dallas County's misdemeanor courts. We have been encouraged by the improvement in how cases are distributed to attorneys on the appointment list and hope this continues into the future.

If you have any thoughts or questions, please contact me at (512) 936-7560.

Sincerely,

Joel Lieurance

Senior Policy Analyst

Jul Lieurance

Texas Indigent Defense Commission

cc: The Honorable Angela King, Local Administrative Statutory County Judge

The Honorable Lisa Green, Presiding Statutory County Judge

Ms. Patricia Johnson, County Criminal Courts Manager

Mr. Darryl D. Thomas, Dallas County Auditor

Ms. Vonda Owens, Dallas County Auditor's Office

Mr. Geoff Burkhart, Executive Director, Texas Indigent Defense Commission

(enclosure)

Update of Dallas County Misdemeanor Appointments

- TIDC began a policy monitoring review in FY2007. During this review process, several issues were identified. One item remains to be verified as having been addressed: the distribution of misdemeanor appointments in cases without a foreign language designation.
- At its June 29, 2017 meeting, the Board passed a motion to request quarterly misdemeanor appointment data from Dallas County. This data will be presented at future Board meetings.
- TIDC Policy Monitoring Rules presume a jurisdiction's appointment process is fair, neutral, and non-discriminatory if the top 10% of recipient attorneys receive less than 3.0 times their representative share of appointments.

Distribution of Misdemeanor Cases Paid

- For the period between October 2018 and June 2019, the **top 10% of recipient attorneys** (without a foreign language designation) **received 2.8 times their representative share** of appointments.
- This distribution is within our threshold (for the second time) and is the most even that Dallas County has reported since we required quarterly reports in 2017.

Wheel Management Reports

- Dallas County has also submitted wheel management reports for each quarter. From these reports, the monitor created summary tables shown on the next page.
- We inquired about the ad hoc reasons for skipping the top attorney. See below.

| Ad hoc Reason Description | Ad hoc Count | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| Attorney declines appointment | 53 | | |
| Attorney did not respond to contact attempts | 25 | | |
| Attorney fails to appear without notice | 6 | | |
| Attorney no longer on wheel | 10 | | |
| Conflict of interest | 87 | | |
| Case requires special skills or abilities | 190 | | |
| Defendant facing immediate incarceration | 1 | | |
| PD no longer in Court | 2 | | |
| Order MTW granted | 10 | | |
| Requires Special Language | 12 | | |

Wheel and Ad Hoc Appointments by Court

| April 2019 to June 2019 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Court | # Wheel Appts | # Ad Hoc Appts | Sum of Wheel and Ad Hoc Appts | % Following Wheel (Wheel Appts / Sum of Wheel and Ad Hoc Appts) | | |
| MA | 27 | 20 | 47 | 57% | | |
| MB | 241 | 13 | 254 | 95% | | |
| MC | 100 | 16 | 116 | 86% | | |
| MD | 6 | 1 | 7 | 86% | | |
| ME | 166 | 40 | 206 | 81% | | |
| MF | 160 | 16 | 176 | 91% | | |
| MG | 137 | 12 | 149 | 92% | | |
| MH | 248 | 42 | 290 | 86% | | |
| MJ | 155 | 48 | 203 | 76% | | |
| MK | 162 | 12 | 174 | 93% | | |
| ML | 197 | 23 | 220 | 90% | | |
| MM | 65 | 46 | 111 | 59% | | |
| MN | 326 | 16 | 342 | 95% | | |
| Total | 1,990 | 305 | 2,295 | 87% | | |

| July 2018 to June 2019 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Court | # Wheel Appts | # Ad Hoc Appts | Sum of Wheel and Ad Hoc Appts | % Following Wheel (Wheel Appts / Sum of Wheel and Ad Hoc Appts) | | |
| MA | 113 | 65 | 178 | 63% | | |
| MB | 995 | 48 | 1,043 | 95% | | |
| MC | 388 | 154 | 542 | 72% | | |
| MD | 44 | 6 | 50 | 88% | | |
| ME | 1,141 | 83 | 1,224 | 93% | | |
| MF | 788 | 123 | 911 | 86% | | |
| MG | 597 | 71 | 668 | 89% | | |
| MH | 758 | 194 | 952 | 80% | | |
| MJ | 836 | 79 | 915 | 91% | | |
| MK | 702 | 61 | 763 | 92% | | |
| ML | 1,369 | 88 | 1,457 | 94% | | |
| MM | 218 | 126 | 344 | 63% | | |
| MN | 826 | 116 | 942 | 88% | | |
| Total | 8,775 | 1,214 | 9,989 | 88% | | |

Summary Attorney Appointment Management Report

From: 4/1/2019 To: 6/30/2019 Run Date: 08/02/2019

| (1) Total Appointments from Wheel | (2) Total Wheel Replacements | (3) Total AdHocs Assignments | (4) Total Misdemeanor Assignments | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1990 | 176 | 305 | 6542 | |
| (5) Total AdHocs W/ | O Exceptions | % of District Court Assignments not Following Wheel (5) / (4) | | |
| 305 | | 4.66 | | |

| Court | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) Total Assignment | % not Following | # of Skips |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | # Wheel | # Wheel | # AdHoc | #PV | # PD | for this Court | Wheel | |
| | Appts | Rplcmts | Appts | Appts | Appts | (1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5) | (3)/(6) | |
| MA | 27 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 556 | 605 | 3.31 | 3 |
| MB | 241 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 353 | 618 | 2.10 | 20 |
| MC | 100 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 621 | 750 | 2.13 | 7 |
| MD | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 260 | 273 | 0.37 | 8 |
| ME | 166 | 3 | 40 | 0 | 314 | 523 | 7.65 | 0 |
| MF | 160 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 311 | 490 | 3.27 | 26 |
| MG | 137 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 366 | 528 | 2.27 | 18 |
| МН | 248 | 19 | 42 | 0 | 371 | 680 | 6.18 | 29 |
| MJ | 155 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 280 | 497 | 9.66 | 10 |
| MK | 162 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 308 | 493 | 2.43 | 3 |
| ML | 197 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 6 | 243 | 9.47 | 190 |
| MM | 65 | 11 | 46 | 0 | 316 | 438 | 10.50 | 14 |
| MN | 326 | 53 | 16 | 0 | 217 | 612 | 2.61 | 11 |
| Totals | 1990 | 176 | 305 | 0 | 4279 | 6750 | 4.52 | 339 |

| Wheel | Total Attorneys on Wheel | Total Wheel Assignments |
|--------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| MISD A | 142 | 1107 |
| MISD B | 142 | 675 |
| | Totals | 1782 |